IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

In re ENRON CORPORATION	}
SECURITIES, DERIVATIVE &	MDL 1446
"ERISA" LITIGATION	}
This Document Relates To:	}
MARK NEWBY, et al., individually and	Civil Action No. H-01-3624
on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs	} (Consolidated)
VS.	} }
	,
ENRON CORP., et al.	}
Defendants	}
THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, et al., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs,	<pre>} } } }</pre>
VS.	Civil Action No. H-02-3401
KENNETH L. LAY, et al., Defendants	<pre>} } }</pre>
WASHINGTON STATE INVESTMENT BOARD, et al., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs,	<pre>} } } } Civil Action No. H-02-3401</pre>
VS.	}
KENNETH L. LAY, et al.,	}
Defendants	} }
	J

CONSECO ANNUITY ASSURANCE COMPANY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs Plaintiffs	
VS. }	Civil Action No. H-02-2240
CITIGROUP, INC., et al., } Defendants }	
SILVERCREEK MANAGEMENT INC., } et al., } Plaintiffs }	
VS.	Civil Action No. H-02-3185
SALOMON SMITH BARNEY, et al., } Defendants. }	
SILVERCREEK MANAGEMENT INC., } et al., Plaintiffs }	
VS.	Civil Action No. H-03-0815
CITIGROUP, INC., et al., Defendants }	

ORDER ON OPPOSED MOTION TO PERMIT SILVERCREEK PLAINTIFFS TO OPT OUT OF CITIGROUP AND JP MORGAN SETTLEMENTS AND TO REMAIN IN CIBC SETTLEMENT CLASS

(Newby Instrument No. 4574; Silvercreek H-02-3185, Instrument No. 77; Silvercreek H-03-0815, Instrument No. 28)

 $Plaintiffs\,Silvercreek\,Management\,Inc., Silvercreek\,Limited\,Partnership, Silvercreek\,Management\,Inc., Silvercreek\,Management\,Inc.,$

II Limited, OIP Limited, and Pebble Limited Partnership ("Silvercreek Plaintiffs") have filed a

motion to permit them to opt out of the class settlements with Citigroup¹ and JP Morgan Chase², but to remain in the class settlement with CIBC.³ The motion asks merely that they be allowed to continue to remain in the class that is settling with CIBC. They argue that if they are forced to opt out of the CIBC settlement class, they will be obliged to file a separate case against CIBC in order to pursue their claims, which would be wasteful.

The settling defendants, JP Morgan Chase and Citigroup are opposed to the Silvercreek Plaintiffs' opting out of the JPMorgan class and the Citigroup class, but remaining in the CIBC class. They argue that to allow the Silvercreek Plaintiffs to cherry pick the class in which they wish to stay constitutes a rewriting of the settling parties' agreement in contravention of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which "expressly contemplates that class members will ordinarily be given a single opportunity at an early stage of the class action to decide whether or not to participate in the action, and that, as the courts have repeatedly recognized, a class member that opts out of the litigation does so for all purposes." Opposition of Citigroup, *et al.*, *Newby* Instrument No. 4583, at 2. To allow otherwise would upset "the balance struck by Rule 23" to protect a class member's rights and to promote the efficient resolution of class actions. *In re Del-Val Fin. Corp. Securities Litigation*, 162 F.R.D. 271, 275 (S.D.N.Y. 1995). The Court agrees. Accordingly,

¹Citigroup Inc., Citibank, N.A., Citicorp, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Limited, and Schroder Salomon Smith Barney.

²JPMorgan Chase & Co., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., J.P. Morgan Securities Inc.

³Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, CIBC World Markets Corp. (F/K/A CIBC Oppenheimer Corp.) and CIBC World Markets plc.

It is hereby ORDERED that the Opposed Motion to Permit Silvercreek Plaintiffs to Opt Out of Citigroup and JP Morgan Settlements and to Remain in CIBC Settlement Class (Instrument No. 4574) is hereby DENIED.

SIGNED at Houston, Texas, this 12th day of April, 2006.

MELINDA HARMON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE